



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,603	01/10/2002	Yoshihiro Shima	500.41075X00	5108	
24956	24956 7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			LAROSE, O	LAROSE, COLIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/041,603	SHIMA, YOSHIHIRO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Colin M. LaRose	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM THE MAILING DEPL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	ugust 2005					
· —		s action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
. •/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Burea	, ,,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(c)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/041,603 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Arguments and Amendments

1. Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 22 August 2005, have been entered and made of record.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/22/05 was filed after the mailing date of the Office action on 5/20/05. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

3. The previous objection to the Specification is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment thereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
 - subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,603 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 1, "said features" in line 8 lacks proper antecedent basis. It appears the term should be -- said feature -- so that is corresponds to "an input feature" in line 7. However, "said feature" appears to be improperly singular because it refers to the center coordinate extracted from *each* of the cells, lines or character lines, which apparently is a collection of features rather than a single feature.

Furthermore, lines 10, 12, 14, and 16 make reference to "said inputted feature," which seemingly refers to the aforementioned collection of input features (i.e. the center coordinates of each cell, line, or character line).

Applicant is respectfully requested to correct the above inconsistencies so that the claim is sufficiently definite and so that those skilled in the art are fairly apprised of the specific metes and bounds of the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1 and 2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's arguments pertaining to claim 1 are persuasive and are sufficient to overcome the previous rejection under § 103(a) thereof. For those reasons, claim 1 would be allowable.

Related Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,603 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

U.S. Patent 6,785,428 by Stolin discloses micro-rotating a document image on the basis of center coordinate points extracted from a plurality of cells. However, Stolin is directed only to correcting skew and is silent to e.g. identifying a type of form on the basis of extracted center coordinates ("input features"), as recited in claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (571) 272-7423.

Please note that this application has been reassigned to Colin LaRose. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/041,603

Art Unit: 2627

CML Group Art Unit 2627 7 December 2005

> VIKKRAM BALI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5